IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

)
)
) Civil Action No. 5:12-2264-SB
))) ()))
)))

This matter is before the Court upon the Plaintiff's pro se complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, wherein the Plaintiff alleges that Pickens County corrections officers overreacted to a verbal confrontation that occurred due to the confiscation of the ice cooler used by detainees in the Plaintiff's living area. By local rule, the matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations.

On September 25, 2012, United States Magistrate Judge Kaymani D. West issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the Plaintiff's complaint and recommending that the Court dismiss the complaint without prejudice as to Defendant Pickens County Jail only based on the fact that the jail is not a "person" amenable to suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the Plaintiff of the right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within 14 days of the date of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal



conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Wells v. Shriner's Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Plaintiff did not file any specific, written objections, there are no portions of the R&R to which the Court must conduct a de novo review. Accordingly, after review, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R (Entry 16) as the Order of this Court, and it is

ORDERED that the complaint is dismissed without prejudice as to Defendant Pickens County Jail.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Sol Blatt, Jr.

Senior United States District Judge

October **18**, 2012 Charleston, South Carolina

